

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/004,061 10/31/2001 Frederick W. Giacobbe 25184-P033US / S5648 6704 35034 7590 02/10/2004 **EXAMINER** JEFFREY L. WENDT, ESO. RAGONESE, ANDREA M **600 TOWN CENTER ONE** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1450 LAKE ROBBINS DRIVE THE WOODLANDS, TX 77380 3743 DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•		
		Application No.	Applica	nt(s)	
Office Action Comments		10/004,061	GIACOE	GIACOBBE, FREDERICK V.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Andrea M. Ragor			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 18 November 2003.			
•	•	☐ This action is non-fina	ıl.		
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-76</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-76</u> are subject to restriction is	withdrawn from considera			
Application	on Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by			• •	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been rece cuments have been rece he priority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	ived. ived in Application No ive been received in this (a)).	· 	
Attachment	(s)				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	.948) D/SB/08) 5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Appli Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/004,061

Art Unit: 3743

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-61) in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Examiner improperly required the restriction and should therefore withdraw the same and examine all of the claims. This argument is found persuasive and the previous restriction requirement has been withdrawn. However, a new restriction requirement is deemed proper and has been issued.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-61, drawn to a heat transfer fluid mixture, classified in class 252, subclass 71.
  - II. Claims 62-66, drawn to methods of using a heat transfer fluid mixture, classified in class 34.
  - III. Claims 67-76, drawn to a method of making a heat transfer fluid mixture, classified in classes 252, subclass 73.
- 3. The inventions are distinct from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I, III and II are related as product, process of making and process of using the product, respectively. Since claims to all three categories product, process of making and process of use are included in this national application, a three-way requirement for restriction can be made. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that the process of making is distinct from the product. See MPEP § 806.05(i)). In this case, the independently claimed process of making the product is distinct from the

Application/Control Number: 10/004,061

Art Unit: 3743

independently claimed product because the process does not necessarily utilize products composed of gases with the same molecular weight relationship as that of the gases composed by the claimed product.

Page 3

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. In the case that the applicant elects the examination of Group II, an additional restriction shall be imposed and election required. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - II-A. Claims 62-64, drawn to a method of improving cooling of an object with a gas mixture, classified in class 34, subclass 428.
  - II-B. Claims 65-66, drawn to a method of improving heating of an object with a gas mixture, classified in class 34, subclass 487.
- 6. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II-A and II-B are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II-A has separate utility such as a method for cooling an object while invention II-B has a separate utility such as a method for heating an object. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

A ...

Application/Control Number: 10/004,061

Art Unit: 3743

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired

a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the search

required for Group II-B is not required for Group II-A, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Ragonese whose telephone number is (703)

306-4055. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8

am until 4 pm ET.

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

amr

February 5, 2004

Menry Harent Examiner
Supervisory Parent Examiner

Page 4